JS 44 CAND (Rev. 12/11)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

the civil double and i		- 11 mars 10 m	Appearable the second s		20 10	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	OHN WEST	NOSBURN	DEFENDANTS	SOT. B OPTIZ(#8132P); OFC. J. CUNNIE (#875)		
			361. IS 001	N(HUNK); OFC	S. JANC & JOHN OF OAMAND ALAMERA	
		TO THE STATE OF TH	Gt. HAMILTO	AND THE CITY	OF DAMLAND	
(b) County of Residence o	of First Listed Plaintiff M	enpound	County of Residence	f First Listed Defendant	Aranepa	
	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS		A 40 W	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O	NLI)	
			NOTE:	IN LAND CONDEMNATION CO	ASES, USE THE LOCATION OF	
					16	
				ment of the Control	1	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	ddress, and Telephone Number			OAK CITY 1	ATTY & Y	
ennis cunning	6 HAM, 915	28 5 8091	JOHN B	URBER		
a Barrett	ST. SAN F	PANCISUD, CA	99110	Agricultural and the second	-	
II. BASIS OF JURISDI		n One Box Only)	. CITIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff)	
II. BASIS OF TORISD.			(For Diversity Cases Only)	F DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	lot a Partui	Citizen of This State	. Dame		
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government N	or a rurry)	Children of this state	of Business In This	State	
			Citizen of Another State	2	Principal Place 0 5 0 5	
2 U.S. Government	1 4 Diversity	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	of Business In A		
Defendant	(Indicate Citizensii)	y of rurnes in riem in		Notes	0 6 0 6	
AND THE PARTY OF T			Citizen or Subject of a . Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	D 0 0 0	
			Foreign Country			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
CONTRACT	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure	1 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Claims Act	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 881	1 423 Withdrawal	400 State Reapportionment	
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	☐ 690 Other	28 USC 157	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking	
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	367 Health Care/		PROPERTY RIGHTS	450 Commerce	
□ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 460 Deportation	
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability		☐ 830 Patent	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal		☐ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit	
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product		SOCIAL SECURITY	490 Cable/Sat TV	
(Excl. Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY	LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	□ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud	Act	□ 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange	
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending	720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts	
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	740 Railway Labor Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters	
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage	☐ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act	B 803 K31 (403(g))	3 895 Freedom of Information	
196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	385 Property Damage Product Liability	790 Other Labor Litigation	Land Control of the C	Act	
	Med. Malpractice		791 Empl. Ret. Inc.		896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 0 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Act/Review or Appeal of	
210 Land Condemnation	0 Other Civil Rights	510 Motions to Vacate		or Defendant)	Agency Decision	
220 Foreclosure	141 Voting 13 442 Employment	Sentence Habeas Corpus:		371 IRS—Third Party	3 950 Constitutionality of	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	442 Employment 443 Housing/	530 General		26 USC 7609	State Statutes	
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION		The second dis-	
290 All Other Real Property	1 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Other	 462 Naturalization Application 463 Habeas Corpus - 			
	Employment	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	Alien Detainee			
	1 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	560 Civil Detainee -	(Prisoner Petition)		The said and the second of the	
	☐ 448 Education	Conditions of	1 465 Other Immigration			
		Confinement	Actions		1352	
W COLCIN				grings.		
	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from	Remanded from		ferred from 6 Multidis		
	tate Court	Appellate Court	Reopened (speci	(v) Litigatio	n	
	Cite the U.S. Civil	Statute under which you ar	e filing (Do not cite jurisdictional	statutes unless diversity):		
ST. CALLED OF ACTI	42 VS	c sec. 9	83	Name of the Party		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Direct description of	cause:	Control of the space			
	POLICE		1000	CUECV VES only	y if demanded in complaint:	
VII. REQUESTED IN		S IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$			
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.I	2. 23		JURY DEMANE	D: UTES BINO	
VIII, RELATED CAS	E(S)	graph water a series	A Principal Control of the Control o	La Francisco Marine Sam		
	(See instructions):	Market Street, and the street		DOCKET NUMBER	REAL PROPERTY.	
IF ANY		JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
IX. DIVISIONAL AS	SIGNMENT (Civil I	.R. 3-2)				
(Place an "X" in One Box (production of the second secon	SAN FRANCISCO	OAKLAND O SA	N JOSE EURI	EKA	
Triace an "A in One Dox (JJ)					
DATE 6/29/12		SIGNATURE OF A	ATTORNEY OF RECORD	TO	The second second	
DATE 6/27/2		DIGITAL ONL OF A		~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		

Document 1

Filed 06/29/12

Page 2 of 5

Case 3:12-cv-03397-RS

23

24

25

26

27

28

1. This is a Complaint for violation of civil rights by way of false arrest, wrongful use of force and wrongful imprisonment, in violation of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution, and unconstitutional policy and practice on the part of the Oakland Police Department, implicating the City itself. It arises from the wrongful and arbitrary seizure and rough physical punishment of plaintiff by the defendant officers, on July 8, 2010, gratuitously and heedlessly interrupting him as he pursued his professional calling and assignment as photo-

journalist and film-maker, filming a developing confrontation between police and demonstrators in downtown Oakland, on the occasion of protests over the whitewash verdict exonerating the BART policeman Johannes Mehserle in the New Year's Eve, 2008, killing of Oscar Grant on a BART platform.

2. As a result of this gratuitous mayhem, clearly carried out 'maliciously and sadistically for the very purpose of causing harm, the plaintiff has suffered long-lasting injury to his wrist, impairing his ability to handle his cameras, and thereby compromising his ability to earn his living and pursue his calling and his art, all in violation of his fundamental rights under the United States Constitution.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

3. This Court has jurisdiction based on the U.S. Constitution by way of the Civil Rights Acts, 42 U.S.C. Sec.1983 *et seq*. Venue is proper in this Court because some parties reside or are employed in the County and the events in question occurred here.

PARTIES

- 4. <u>Plaintiff</u>. Plaintiff JOHN WESTON OSBURN is an adult citizen of the United States and the State of California and a resident of Mendicino County, California
- 5. <u>Defendants</u>. Defendants, Sgt. B. ORTIZ, #8132P, Ofcr J. CUNNIE, #8754P, and Lieut. (fnu) HAMILTON, #unk, are members of the Oakland Police Department, who acted together with others who participated in the assault and false arrest of the plaintiff, and other responsible supervisors and commanders, all named here as John Does, whose true names will by incorporated herein when they become known.

STATEMENT OF FACTS.

6. Plaintiff is a news reporter and documentary film maker associated at the time with the IndyBay on-line news organization, and on assignment covering the demonstration anticipated for the day when the verdict would be announced at the trial in Los Angeles of Johannes Mehserle, a former BART police officer indicted for murder in the New Years Eve, 2008, BART platform shooting of Oscar Grant Jr, while he lay prone on the ground, handcuffed. The case had caused a great deal of ferment in the community, and more was expected on this night.

1

7

8 9 10

11 12

13

14 15

17 18

16

19 20

22

23

21

24 25

26 27

28

- 7. At a certain point in the protest, while a peaceful crowd rallied in a blocked-off section of Broadway, between 13th and 14th Streets, riot police began to advance on the crowd, despite its peaceful demeanor, and the plaintiff, stationed roughly to the side of the shrinking open space between the two groups, began filming the police advance. As he did so, video camera in one hand and a still camera in the other, he saw a police field commander clearly pointing at him, the plaintiff, and apparently instructing officers to go after him, which they did.
- 8. The officers, Ofc. Cunnie and Sgt. Ortiz in particular (others being unidentified, and so named herein as John and Jane Does), immediately surrounded the plaintiff and grabbed him, taking him violently to the ground despite his lack of any resistance, and attempt to cooperate; whereupon they twisted his arms behind him, seriously injuring his wrist, and then handcuffed him much to tightly, 'maliciously and sadistically, for the very purpose of causing harm', which they did.
- 9. After a stop at a hospital, where his wrist was seen only briefly, the defendants caused the plaintiff to be transported to the City Jail, where they lodged false charges of attempted arson against him, knowing he had committed no such offense, but that the seriousness of the charge would cause him to be held in jail, illegally, rather than released; which he was, for five days before being released. Other, different charges later filed against him were ultimately dropped.
- 10. The officers confiscated his cameras, and when he finally retrieved them, the tape in the video camera had clearly been tampered with by defendants, and a portion or portions of it deleted. His wrist, in the area where the officers twisted it deliberately to hurt him, was in pain, and his use of it greatly impaired, for many weeks after the incident, and still not fully healed—and apparently may not ever heal—almost two years later.

PLAINTIFF'S CAUSES OF ACTION.

One. Violation of Civil and Constitutional Rights: Unreasonable Seizure. violent, totally unprovoked and unjustified assault on the plaintiff described above, together with his (false) arrest and forcible handcuffing on no legal grounds whatsoever, violated his fundamental rights under the Fourth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, entitling him to Judgment against defendants for compensatory damages.

1 Two. Violation of Civil and Constitutional Rights: Personal Liberty; Freedom of 2 Speech, Association and Movement; and Privacy. The actions of all the defendants, in 3 assaulting and arresting the plaintiff arbitrarily and without cause or justification, and punishing 4 and retaliating against him physically, were aimed at suppressing his reportorial efforts, punishing him for them, and covering up the gratuitous, unconstitutional violence of the police 5 6 against the peaceful protesters by blocking his coverage. These actions by defendants likewise deprived plaintiff of fundamental rights under the Firts Amendment, also entitling him to 7

judgment against the defendants, and damages.

Three. Denial of Due Process. False charges of attempted arson lodged against plaintiff by defendants without grounds, and intended to result in high bail and extended imprisonment following the arrest, violated plaintiff's rights denied the plaintiff Due Process of Law and deprived him of privileges and immunities guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment.

Four. Punitive Damages. The afore-described acts of the defendants who manhandled, injured and humiliated the plaintiff, and cut off his legitimate expressive activity and reporting, then had him booked on false charges so he would remain in jail, were knowingly malicious and oppressive in the extreme, and taken with reckless and callous indifference to his basic civil rights, entitling the plaintiff to judgment against them and each of them for punitive damages, according to law.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff demands Trial By Jury on each of his claims herein, and Judgment against all defendants found to have participated in violating his rights and otherwise injuring him, for compensatory damages in such amount as the Jury shall see fit to award; and for punitive damages against those who injured him maliciously and oppressively, also as the Jury sees fit; and for the costs and expenses of suit, attorney's fees according to law, and such other relief as may be deemed just and appropriate in the premises.

DATED: June 18, 2012.

Respectfully submitted,

Dennis Cunningham Leff Wozniak

Attorneys for Plaintiff

28

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27